

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, this bill proposes a rate, increase allowable per diem for members of sanitary improvement districts and trustees and salaries of clerks of SIDs. As the law currently stands, trustees are permitted to receive a per diem of \$3 for each meeting they attend. Clerks of an SID can receive a salary up to but no more than \$600 a year, the actual figure being set by the board of trustees. If the bill is adopted, trustees can receive \$15 for each meeting they attend but could not be paid for more than 12 meetings in each calendar year. Clerks could receive a salary up to but not more than \$1,200 a year again. It appears twice because there is two actually dealing with it. It's permissive legislation. We have not visited this issue since 1947, 1949 and so I'd simply ask for the advancement of LB 470.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. There are no other lights. Would you care to close on your motion? Closing is waived. We'll proceed to a vote on the advancement of LB 470. Those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Those of you close to your desk may wish to get back to your desk to be able to cast a vote on the advancement or in opposition to the advancement of LB 470. Mr. Clerk, record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of LB 470.

SENATOR LANDIS: The measure is passed. We'll go to the next item, LB 42, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, LB 42 was introduced by Senator Maurstad. (Title read.) The bill was read for the first time on January 5, referred to the Urban Affairs Committee. That committee reports the bill to General File with committee amendments.

SENATOR LANDIS: There are committee amendments to LB 42. Senator Hartnett, on the committee amendments.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, this bill provides for an alternate mechanism. Right now we have special assessments for nuisance abatement and this simply adds court costs to that and what the amendment does is for clarification. It does not change the bill. It simply proposes by the committee are made identical in both sections. Section 1 is first class cities, Section 2 is second class city. The